

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## The Netherlands: Photo on YouTube Channel, Court of Appeal of 's Hertogenbosch, ECLI:NL:GHSHE:2014:284, IEF 11605 and ECLI:NL:GHSHE:2014:2524, IEF 14082, 29 July 2014

Dirk Henderickx (Institute for Information Law) · Tuesday, May 19th, 2015

This case in the Court of Appeal of 's-Hertogenbosch concerned the use of a photograph of a photo model by two rappers in one of their music videos. The video was uploaded to YouTube after which it was disseminated via their own website's homepage and their YouTube Channel. Although the rappers were authorised to do so by the photo model in question, they did not have the consent of the photographer, i.e. the rightholder. Consequently, the photographer brought suit against the two rappers on the basis of copyright infringement.

In order to estimate her damages, the photographer provided the Court with an indicative rates list for photography assignments. Although the Court found the list was incomplete and the source and status of the document were unclear, it ended up using the list to assess damages anyway. The Court also attempted to offer objective measures to calculate damages in online photography copyright infringement cases.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Tuesday, May 19th, 2015 at 11:31 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Communication \(right of\)](#), [Damages](#), [Infringement](#), [Landmark Cases](#), [Moral rights](#), [Netherlands](#), [Remedies](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.