

Kluwer Copyright Blog

Lithuania: Association LATGA & B.V. v Hesona & others, Court of Appeal of Lithuania, 2A-6-183/2015, 29 May 2015

Inga Lukauskienė (METIDA Law Firm) · Tuesday, October 13th, 2015

The court of appeals held that as there was no evidence that the Defendants had gained any profit from a public display of sculptures, they were not liable to pay royalties in respect of the exhibition. However, the defendants were ordered to discontinue sales of products bearing pictures of the copyright sculptures as this activity was of a commercial nature.

In relation to the claim for moral damages, since the works themselves had not been damaged and the use on sweet boxes or postcards did not affect the reputation of the authors, the court of appeals rejected the request to compensate by way of moral damages.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP Law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Tuesday, October 13th, 2015 at 10:54 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [The right of distribution](#) is set out in [Article 4\(1\) of Directive 2001/29/EC \(the Copyright Directive or Infosoc Directive\)](#), which requires that Member States shall provide for authors, in respect of the original of their works or of copies thereof, the exclusive right to authorise or prohibit any form of distribution to the public

by sale or otherwise.

[">Distribution \(right of\)](#), [Infringement](#), [Landmark Cases](#), [Lithuania](#), [Moral rights](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.