

Kluwer Copyright Blog

CJEU: SBS Belgium v. SABAM, C-325/14, 19 November 2015

Patricia Mariscal (Elzaburu) · Monday, January 11th, 2016

In response to a reference from the Brussels Court of Appeal, the CJEU held that Article 3(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC (the Infosoc Directive) must be interpreted as meaning that a broadcasting organisation does not carry out an act of “communication to the public” when it transmits its programme-carrying signals exclusively to signal distributors without those signals being accessible to the public (the “direct injection” technique).

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Monday, January 11th, 2016 at 7:36 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Communication \(right of\)](#), [Enforcement](#), [European Union](#), [Infringement](#), [Landmark Cases](#), [Liability](#), [Remedies](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can

leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.