

Kluwer Copyright Blog

Estonia: Zoroaster, Tartu Circuit Court, 2-14-54193, 7 January 2016

Elise Vasamae (Aavik & Partners Law Office) · Monday, July 25th, 2016

In this case the court took the position that the non-transfer of economic copyright in a draft building plan did not constitute a breach of the contract of services for designing a construction project if this condition had not been explicitly agreed between the parties. It followed that the transfer of economic copyright must be separately agreed upon in a contract of services. In its judgment the court confirmed that a draft building plan is copyrightable subject matter.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please subscribe [here](#).



Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

 Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Monday, July 25th, 2016 at 9:53 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Estonia](#), [Liability](#), [Ownership](#), [Subject matter \(copyrightable\)](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.

