

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## Washington Declaration on Intellectual Property and the Public Interest

Lucie Guibault (Schulich School of Law ) · Thursday, September 8th, 2011

The global intellectual property landscape has witnessed important changes in recent years. Most notably, the public interest dimension of intellectual property has emerged as a paramount concern. Though there seems to be a fairly broad agreement on the need for a more balanced intellectual property system which effectively promotes innovation, views diverge on how to best achieve it. The Global Congress on Intellectual Property and the Public Interest brought together scholars, policymakers, and policy advocates to discuss and to deliberate about the opportunities for constructing a positive policy and research agenda.

The result: a resounding [Washington Declaration on Intellectual Property and the Public Interest](#) has been released by a group of over 170 policymakers and advocates from approximately 35 countries who met August 25-27 at American University Washington College of Law.

The Declaration opens with a preamble, making two fundamental statements:

1) International intellectual property policy affects a broad range of interests within society, not just those of rights holders. Thus, intellectual property policy making should be conducted through mechanisms of transparency and openness that encourage broad public participation. New rules should be made within the existing forums responsible for intellectual property policy, where both developed and developing countries have full representation, and where the texts of and forums for considering proposals are open. All new international intellectual property standards must be subject to democratic checks and balances, including domestic legislative approval and opportunities for judicial review.

2) Markets alone cannot be relied upon to achieve a just allocation of information goods – that is, one that promotes the full range of human values at stake in intellectual property systems. This is clear, for example, from recent experiences in the areas of public health and education, where intellectual property has complicated progress toward meeting these basic public needs.

Informed by these two broad principles, the Congress adopted a series of specific recommendations calling for action, focusing on several issues including: putting IP protection in its place, valuing openness and the public domain, strengthening limitations and exceptions, setting public interest priorities for patent reform, supporting cultural creativity, checking enforcement excesses, implementing development agendas, and requiring evidence-based policy making.

These recommendations aim at bringing some balance and fairness back into the way intellectual property rules are devised at the international, regional and national levels.

The Declaration is open for signature: <http://infojustice.org/washington-declaration>

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please subscribe [here](#).*


## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**  
The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, September 8th, 2011 at 10:20 am and is filed under [International Agreement, USA](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.

