

---

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## WIPO International Performer's Right's Treaty revived

Tatiana Synodinou (University of Cyprus) · Friday, October 7th, 2011

11 years have passed since the last attempt of WIPO to promote an Audiovisual Performance Treaty which would bring the performer's protection to meet the challenges of the digital era. The path to the adoption of such a Treaty seems to be prima facie open after the WIPO's General Assembly Decision of 30th of September to work towards convening a diplomatic conference for the signature of a Treaty. The diplomatic conference will be convened in 2012 and will continue the work of the meeting in 2000.

In 2000, discussions on a draft WIPO Treaty on performers' rights which largely reflected the provisions of the WPPT made noteworthy progress. Indeed, provisional agreement on 19 of the 20 articles under negotiation was achieved. Nevertheless, negotiators at the time did not agree on whether or how should deal with the hot issue of transfer of rights from the performer to the producer and suspended the diplomatic conference. The question of transfer of rights from the performer to the producer is strongly related to the specific copyright culture of the signatory parties and the national industry standards. Since setting high universal standards which promote a wide control of the performer as regards primary and secondary uses of the performance could destabilize existing pro-producer national legal schemes of transfer of rights, not only the content of a universal solution but even the utility of a regulation on this topic were vastly contested. A series of alternative transfer of rights positions were promoted for consideration by the Diplomatic Conference, such as the option of a rebuttable presumption of transfer of the exclusive rights to the producer after the performer consented to the fixation of a specific performance or a presumed entitlement for the producer to exercise the performers' rights in the absence of a written agreement to the contrary.

The adoption of a WIPO Treaty might prove to be highly beneficial for the performers since the Rome Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations (the Rome Convention) dates in 1961 and cannot give adequate response to the current challenges brought new ways of Internet distribution of audiovisual works, such as social media. Indeed, while performances in sound recordings are protected by the WPPT, there is no equivalent protection for performers in audiovisual works. Nevertheless, it is a matter of time to discover if the delicate compromise which seems to have been achieved on the wording of the provision dealing with the transfer of rights is able to promote the effective strengthening of the international protection of performers.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).


## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**  
The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, October 7th, 2011 at 5:21 pm and is filed under [Legislative process](#), [Performers' Rights](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.