

Kluwer Copyright Blog

Goodbye, Geschriftenbescherming!

P. Bernt Hugenholtz (Institute for Information Law (IViR)) · Wednesday, March 6th, 2013



Besides tulips, cheese, football and other recreational matters, the Netherlands are famous for its copyright protection of non-original writings. *Geschriftenbescherming*, as the Dutch call this legal anomaly (and only they know how to pronounce it), is a remnant of an ancient eighteenth-century printer's right that lives on until this day in the [Dutch Copyright Act of 1912](#). Deviating from the idea of author's right (*droit d'auteur*) to which Dutch law otherwise subscribes, the Dutch Act protects 'writings' that do not meet the test of originality. Article 10 (1), first item, of the Act, mentions as protected subject matter 'books, brochures, newspapers, periodicals and *all* other writings.' Over the years, the word *all* (as in 'all other writings') has caused lively, and sometimes unruly, debates in Dutch copyright circles. Eventually, in a series of landmark decisions concerning the protection of radio and television program listings, the Dutch Supreme Court (Hoge Raad) decided that this word was to be interpreted almost literally. According to the Court even the most banal or trivial writings are protected by copyright, provided that they are published or intended for publication (Supreme Court 17 April 1953, NJ 1954, 211 (Het Radioprogramma); Supreme Court 27 January 1961, NJ 1962, 355 (Explicator); Supreme Court 25 June 1965, NJ 1966, 116 (Televizier)).

Thus, in the Netherlands producers of telephone directories, address books, almanacs and catalogs have always enjoyed copyright protection against unauthorized reproduction. More controversially, the Dutch public broadcasting organizations for many years successfully invoked *geschriftenbescherming* to monopolize the market for radio and television guides. In more recent times, copyright protection of non-original writings also became a popular instrument for protecting computerized databases, even after the EU's Database Directive of 1996 harmonized database copyright according to the standard of the 'author's own intellectual creation'.

After last year's Football Dataco decision by the Court of Justice (CJEU, 1 March 2012, Case C-604/10) it was clear that maintaining *geschriftenbescherming* for databases was untenable. Following the recommendations of the Dutch Copyright Committee, the Government has now announced its intention to abolish *geschriftenbescherming* – not only for databases, but across the board. On February 11, 2013 [the Government published a draft bill](#) that would remove a single word ('all') from the text of Article 10(1) of the Dutch Copyright, and thereby put this relic of a distant past finally to rest. Farewell, *geschriftenbescherming*!

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, March 6th, 2013 at 6:46 pm and is filed under [Database right](#), [Neighbouring rights](#), [Netherlands](#), [Originality](#), [Subject matter \(copyrightable\)](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.