
Kluwer Copyright Blog

Webinar on Copyright and Trademark Litigation

Kluwer Copyright Blogger · Tuesday, April 15th, 2014

We are delighted to announce that on 24 April 2014 Kluwer Law International is hosting a webinar on two major cases in the field of copyright and trademark litigation.

The event is presented by IP expert **Wouter Pors**, partner in, and Head of, the IP department at Bird & Bird in The Hague, The Netherlands.

Wouter will discuss two recent CJEU judgments. The first one discussed is *Martin y Paz v. David Depuydt* (CJEU 19-9-2013, [C-661/11](#)) dealing with the termination of licence and exhaustion. The second case is on hyperlinks and copyright with a thorough analysis on the *Svensson v. Retriever Sverige* decision (CJEU 13-2-2014, [C-466/12](#)).

He will furthermore demonstrate how countries worldwide deal with similar topics using the Comparative Questions and Answers Charts that are included on [Kluwer IP Law](#), Wolters Kluwer's flagship service focused on global IP law.

During this event you will be able to send your questions to Wouter Pors.

Please click [here](#) to register to this upcoming free online event.

Christine Robben, Product Manager

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Tuesday, April 15th, 2014 at 11:30 am and is filed under [inter alia](#), for ensuring that EU law is interpreted and applied in a consistent way in all EU countries. If a national court is in doubt about the interpretation or validity of an EU law, it can ask the Court for clarification. The same mechanism can be used to determine whether a national law or practice is compatible with EU law. The CJEU also resolves legal disputes between national governments and EU institutions, and can take action against EU institutions on behalf of individuals, companies or organisations.”>CJEU

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.