

---

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## Latvia: Abuse of a dominant position III, Regional Administrative Court of Latvia, A43012613, A43-0051-15/4, 9 February 2015

Magda Pap?de (Albert Ludwig University, Freiburg) · Friday, October 16th, 2015

When considering whether a collective management organisation had abused its dominant position by imposing unreasonably high licence fees, it was justifiable to compare its fees with the fees in other markets. These markets should be comparable to the Latvian market in order to achieve the correct outcome. As well as having a similar gross domestic product, these markets should also be similar on cultural, economical and structural grounds.

When imposing a fine on a collective management organisation which has infringed competition rules, the special nature of this organisation has to be taken into account. The basis for the amount of the fine must exclude the income which has been collected by the collective management organisation on behalf of the rightholders. Only its own income should be taken into account when calculating the amount of the fine.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Friday, October 16th, 2015 at 2:59 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Collective management](#), [Landmark Cases](#), [Latvia](#), [Remedies](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.