

---

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## CJEU: *Austro-Mechana v. Amazon*, Court of Justice of the European Union, C-572/14, 21 April 2016

Patricia Mariscal (Elzaburu) · Wednesday, June 29th, 2016

In this decision, the CJEU tackled an international jurisdiction issue, since what was essentially under debate in the main proceedings was the applicability of Article 5(3) of Regulation 44/2001 on jurisdiction in civil and commercial matters, which enables, in matters relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict, persons domiciled in one Member State to be sued in the courts of another Member State where the harmful event occurred. The CJEU was asked to rule on the legal nature of the obligation to pay fair compensation for private copying and, specifically whether it fell within “matters relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict”. The CJEU concluded that a claim for payment of compensation that is owed by virtue of a national law that applies the system of fair compensation regulated in Article 5(2)(b) of Directive 2001/29/EC does fall within “matters relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict” within the meaning of Article 5(3) of Regulation 44/2001.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, June 29th, 2016 at 12:55 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [inter alia](#), for ensuring that EU law is interpreted and applied in a consistent way in all EU countries. If a national court is in doubt about the interpretation or validity of an EU law, it can ask the Court for clarification. The same mechanism can be used to determine whether a national law or practice is compatible with EU law. The CJEU also resolves legal disputes between national governments and EU institutions, and can take action against EU institutions on behalf of individuals, companies or organisations.”>[CJEU](#), [European Union](#), [Jurisdiction](#), [Landmark Cases](#), [Limitations](#), [Private copying](#), [Remuneration \(equitable\)](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.