
Kluwer Copyright Blog

Copyright case: VOB v Stichting, CJEU

Sophia Urlich (Institute for Information Law (IViR)) · Saturday, October 28th, 2017

The CJEU held that the concept of ‘lending’, within the meaning of Article 1(1), Article 2(1)(b) and Article 6(1) of Directive 2006/115/EC, covers the lending of a digital copy of a book where the lending is carried out by placing the copy on the server of a public library and allowing a user to reproduce that copy by downloading it onto their computer. Further, EU law, particularly Article 6 of Directive 2006/115, must be interpreted as not precluding a Member State from making the application of Article 6(1) of that directive subject to the condition that the digital copy of a book made available by a public library must have been put into circulation by a first sale or other transfer of ownership of that copy in the EU by the holder of the right of distribution to the public or with their consent for the purpose of Article 4(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC. Lastly, Article 6(1) of Directive 2006/115 precludes the public lending exception from applying to the making available by a public library of a digital copy of a book where that copy was obtained from an illegal source.

Case date: 10 November 2016

Case number: C-174/15

Court: European Court of Justice (ECJ)

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Saturday, October 28th, 2017 at 10:40 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [inter alia](#), for ensuring that EU law is interpreted and applied in a consistent way in all EU countries. If a national court is in doubt about the interpretation or validity of an EU law, it can ask the Court for clarification. The same mechanism can be used to determine whether a national law or practice is compatible with EU law. The CJEU also resolves legal disputes between national governments and EU institutions, and can take action against EU institutions on behalf of individuals, companies or organisations.”>[CJEU, European Union, Making available \(right of\)](#)
You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.