
Kluwer Copyright Blog

Copyright case: Korman v. Iglesias, USA

John W. Scanlan (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Friday, June 28th, 2019

Although the litigant was unsuccessful in her prior litigation, allowing her to proceed now by asserting facts completely opposite to those she asserted earlier would create the perception that the earlier court was misled.

A litigant who previously had claimed that she was the co-author of a 1978 Julio Iglesias song was estopped from now claiming that she was the sole author, the U.S. Court of Appeals in Atlanta has held in an unpublished decision affirming the dismissal with prejudice of her copyright claim. However, the court declined to award attorney fees to Iglesias, stating that the plaintiff's decision to proceed in the present case despite losing in the previous litigation was not patently frivolous, even though the court agreed that she intended "to make a mockery of the judicial system" (Korman v. Iglesias, June 20, 2019, per curiam).

Case date: 20 June 2019

Case number: No. 18-13772

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, June 28th, 2019 at 3:11 am and is filed under [Case Law, USA](#). You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.