

---

# Kluwer Copyright Blog

## Copyright case: Peppone v. Tintinimaginatio, France

Brigitte A.J. Spiegelger · Friday, January 6th, 2023

According to French law, to fall under the parody exception, the second work must evoke the pre-existing work and present significant differences from it so there is no possible confusion with the parodied work, as well as constitute a manifestation of humour and mockery.

If the second work does not satisfy these conditions, and does not alter the nature and/or significance of the original work but constitutes a misappropriation of notoriety for commercial purposes, it will not be covered by the parody exception.

Case date: 24 November 2022

Case number: 22/04302

Court: Court of Appeal of Aix-en-Provence

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Copyright Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, January 6th, 2023 at 9:28 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [France](#), [Landmark Cases](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.